

TEF, ZOOM,  
model coupling, feedback analysis

...

Jean-Yves GRANDPEIX [L.M.D.](#) ; [Paris](#) ; [France](#)

## Models

appearance

---

physics, chemistry, biology, economy

---

mathematics

---

numerics

---

software

Mathematics = common language (hopefully)

- we consider models belonging to mathematics and numerics worlds:  
**Models = sets of equations**
- always try to exhibit links with upper layers
- (Sub-)System = model  $\oplus$  variables

## Sensitivity, coupling, feedback

**Sensitivity** = response to a change in forcings

**Coupling** = response of a variable  $\varphi_1$  to a change of model relative to another variable  $\varphi_2$

**Feedback** = response of a variable to the closure of a loop

**Importance of partitioning into labelled submodels**

## Partitionning and coupling

$$\eta_A \quad \partial_t \eta_A = G_A(\eta_A, \theta_S)$$

**Atmosphere**

$$\theta_S$$

$$\varphi_S$$

**Ocean**

$$\eta_O \quad \partial_t \eta_O = G_O(\eta_O, \varphi_S)$$

- For every sea surface temperature  $\theta_S \longrightarrow$  one (unique) solution for  $\eta_A$
- For every surface flux  $\varphi_S \longrightarrow$  one (unique) solution for  $\eta_O$

**Transfer models** = Supplementary conditions restoring the behaviour of the complete system.

Usual coupling technique in GCMs :

temperature continuity:	$\theta_S = T_S(\eta_O)$
flux continuity at surface:	$\varphi_S = \Psi_S(\eta_A)$

## TEF ; global structure

### Two kinds of models

- cells = models relative to parts of the system (partial models ; well posed problems)
- transfers = coupling and matching models

### Two sets of variables

- state variables ( $\vec{\eta}_\alpha$ )
- transfer variables ( $\vec{\varphi}$ )

	Unsteady model		steady model
<b>Equations :</b>	$\partial_t \vec{\eta}_\alpha = \vec{G}_\alpha(\vec{\eta}_\alpha, \vec{\varphi})$ $\vec{\varphi} = \vec{f}(\{\vec{\eta}_\alpha\})$	for each cell $\alpha$ for transfers	$\vec{G}_\alpha(\vec{\eta}_\alpha, \vec{\varphi}) = 0$ $\vec{\varphi} = \vec{f}(\{\vec{\eta}_\alpha\})$

## TLS: Tangent Linear System

TEF is mainly designed to study properties of the **Tangent Linear System (TLS)**.  
**TLS** is obtained by linearizing equations in the vicinity of a reference state  $\vec{\eta}_{ref}$ .

$$\eta(t_0) + \delta\eta = \eta(t_0 + \delta t)$$

$$\eta(t) = \eta_{ref}(t) + \Delta\eta(t)$$

$\Delta\eta$

$\vec{\eta}_i$

$\eta_{ref}(t)$

$\vec{\eta}_{i+1}$

$\vec{\eta}_{i+2}$

TLS is used in three ways:

- for dynamic models and small time displacement: TLS is used in the time marching simulation procedure.
- for steady model iterative solving procedure: Newton Raphson method makes use TLS.
- for perturbation studies.

## Cells

Unsteady model	for each cell $\alpha$	steady model
$\partial_t \vec{\eta}_\alpha = \vec{G}_\alpha(\vec{\eta}_\alpha, \vec{\varphi})$		$\vec{G}_\alpha(\vec{\eta}_\alpha, \vec{\varphi}) = 0$

$\eta$

$$\delta \vec{\eta} = \vec{\eta}(t_0 + \delta t) - \vec{\eta}(t_0)$$

$$\delta \vec{\varphi} = \vec{\varphi}(t_0 + \delta t) - \vec{\varphi}(t_0)$$

$\eta_\alpha(t)$

$$\delta \vec{\eta}_\alpha = \delta \vec{\eta}_{\alpha, dec} + F \cdot \delta \vec{\varphi}$$

$\eta_{\alpha, dec}(t)$

$F =$  influence matrix

$\eta_0$

$t_0$

$t_f = t_0 + \delta t$

## Transfers

$$\vec{\varphi} = \vec{f}(\{\vec{\eta}_\alpha\})$$

$\vec{\eta}_\alpha(t_0 + \delta t) - \vec{\eta}_\alpha(t_0)$  is considered as a perturbation:

$$\delta\vec{\varphi} = \sum_\alpha \partial_\alpha f \cdot \delta\vec{\eta}_\alpha$$

where:  $\partial_\alpha f = \frac{\partial \vec{f}}{\partial \vec{\eta}_\alpha}$

## Coupling equation: state variable elimination

Cell and transfer equations:

$$\begin{cases} \delta\vec{\eta}_\alpha = \delta\vec{\eta}_{\alpha,dec} + F \cdot \delta\vec{\varphi} \\ \delta\vec{\varphi} = \sum_\alpha \partial_\alpha f \cdot \delta\vec{\eta}_\alpha \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Elimination of state variables:

$$\begin{cases} (1 - \sum_\alpha \partial_\alpha f \cdot F_\alpha) \delta\vec{\varphi} = \delta\vec{\varphi}_{ins} \\ \vec{\varphi}_{ins} = \sum_\alpha \partial_\alpha f \cdot \delta\vec{\eta}_{\alpha,dec} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

- When cells are insensitive ( $F_\alpha = 0$ ), then  $\delta\vec{\varphi} = \delta\vec{\varphi}_{ins}$
- The coupling matrix  $\mathcal{C} = \sum_\alpha \partial_\alpha f \cdot F_\alpha$  represents the effect of cell feedbacks.

## Time discretized feedback gains

Example : **Ocean/atmosphere thermodynamic coupling**

1D problem with 2 interface variables (sea surface temperature and heat flux).

**Question: is the feedback important ? what constraint does it impose on the coupling method ?**

$$\begin{array}{cc} \text{Atm.} & \vec{\eta}_A \\ \delta \vec{\eta}_A = \delta \vec{\eta}_{A,dec}(\delta t) + \vec{F}_A(\delta t) \delta \theta & \end{array}$$

$$\delta \theta = \partial \vec{f}_O \cdot \delta \vec{\eta}_O \quad \theta \quad \varphi \quad \delta \varphi = \partial \vec{f}_A \cdot \delta \vec{\eta}_A$$

$$\begin{array}{cc} \delta \vec{\eta}_O = \delta \vec{\eta}_{O,dec}(\delta t) + \vec{F}_O(\delta t) \delta \varphi & \\ \text{Ocean} & \vec{\eta}_O \end{array}$$

State variable elimination:

$$\begin{cases} \delta \varphi = \partial \vec{f}_A \cdot \vec{F}_A \delta \theta + \partial \vec{f}_A \cdot \delta \vec{\eta}_{A,dec} \\ \delta \theta = \partial \vec{f}_O \cdot \vec{F}_O \delta \varphi + \partial \vec{f}_O \cdot \delta \vec{\eta}_{O,dec} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Elimination of  $\delta \varphi$

$$(1 - \partial \vec{f}_O \cdot \vec{F}_O \partial \vec{f}_A \cdot \vec{F}_A) \delta \theta = \partial \vec{f}_O \cdot \delta \vec{\eta}_{O,dec} + \partial \vec{f}_O \cdot \vec{F}_O \partial \vec{f}_A \cdot \delta \vec{\eta}_{A,dec} \quad (4)$$

## Time discretized feedback gains - 2

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1 - g)\delta\theta = \delta\theta_{ins}^* \\ g = \partial\vec{f}_O \cdot \vec{F}_O \partial\vec{f}_A \cdot \vec{F}_A \\ \delta\theta_{ins}^* = \partial\vec{f}_O \cdot \delta\vec{\eta}_{O,dec} + \partial\vec{f}_O \cdot \vec{F}_O \partial\vec{f}_A \cdot \delta\vec{\eta}_{A,dec} \end{array} \right. \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{array}{cc} F_A & \partial f_A \\ \theta & \varphi \\ F_O & \partial f_O \end{array}$$

**Open loop:** system made insensitive to  $\theta$  by setting  $\vec{F}_A = 0$ .

Equation reads  $\delta\theta = \delta\theta_{ins}^*$ .

**Closed loop:** the gain  $g$  comes in:  $g$  describes the effect of the feedback.

## Dynamic coupling analysis

( = exact solving of TLS)

Classically: linear differential system  $\rightarrow$  Laplace transform.

Here, we use Borel transform instead:

$$\mathcal{B}(f)_{(\tau)} = \tilde{f}_\tau = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau}\right) f(t) dt \quad (6)$$

Why Borel transform ? Because it is very easy to compute: any computer code, that uses Crank-Nicolson scheme to compute  $\delta\varphi_{CN}(\delta t)$  (such as ZOOM), can compute numerically  $\delta\tilde{\varphi}(\tau)$ , thanks to:

$$\delta\varphi_{CN}(\delta t) = 2\delta\tilde{\varphi}(\delta t/2)$$

## Dynamic coupling analysis - 2

Example : influence of high cloud cover on troposphere temperature

← High cloud cover  $H_{CC}$

$T$

← Troposphere temperature

Elimination of all variables except  $H_{CC}$  and  $T$ .

$$(1 - \mathcal{C})\delta\vec{\varphi} = \delta\vec{\varphi}_{ins}$$

Row corresponding to  $T$ :

$$(1 - C_{11}(\tau))\delta\tilde{T}(\tau) - C_{12}(\tau)\delta\tilde{H}_{CC}(\tau) = \delta\tilde{T}_{ins}(\tau)$$

## Dynamic coupling analysis - 3

$T$  equation

$$\delta\tilde{T}(\tau) = \frac{1}{1 - C_{11}(\tau)}\delta\tilde{T}_{ins}(\tau) + \frac{C_{12}(\tau)}{1 - C_{11}(\tau)}\delta H_{CC}(\tau)$$

**Main point:** coefficients  $C_{1i}$  are of the form  $\partial_\alpha f_1 \dots F_{\beta j}$  ; they are independent of  $H_{CC}$  model ( $= \partial_{alpha} f_2$ ).  $\Rightarrow$  Influence of  $H_{CC}$  onto  $T$  is explicit. Inverting  $\mathcal{B}$  yields:

$$\delta T(t) = \dots + \mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(\frac{C_{12}}{1 - C_{11}}\right) * \partial_t(\delta H_{CC}(t))$$

- coupling coefficient  $\mathcal{B}^{-1}\left(\frac{C_{12}}{1 - C_{11}}\right)$  is the response of  $T$  to a step in  $H_{CC}$  at  $t = 0$ .
- limit for large  $t =$  steady state coupling coefficient
- direct simulation confirms that it takes approximately 10 years for the system to reach its equilibrium

## Saliant features

apart from the fact TEF and ZOOM do perform simulations

- model splitting and coupling is an efficient modelling tool
- model splitting enables coupling and feedback analysis
- importance of studying the Tangent Linear System
- feedback gains are properties of the TLS (not effects of non-linearities)
- coupling coefficients describe the response of a variable to a change in the model corresponding to another variable
- feedback gains describe the effect of the closure of a feedback loop
- full dynamic analysis of TLS yields characteristic times as well as values of coupling coefficients and feedback gains