Remote sensing observations and climate modeling of snowfall in Antarctica.

Florentin Lemonnier, PhD student

With the collaboration of Jean-Baptiste Madeleine, Chantal Claud, Jacopo Grazioli, Alexis Berne, Claudio Duran-Alarcon, Brice Boudainville & Christophe Genthon.

Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique / IPSL, CNRS – Paris, France



Introduction : Terra Australis Incognita

- Envisioned since the 16th century.
- Discovered in 1820.
- Dedicated to Science, Peace and Preservation since 1959.



Introduction : Why studying Antarctica ?

- Resources :
 - $^\circ$ $\,$ 75 % of the global fresh water.
- Surface mass balance :
 - Snow precipitation and accumulation over the ice cap.
 - Glacier calving, sublimation and meltwater runoff.
 - \circ $\,$ Wind erosion and drifting snow.
- Major focus : Global warming :
 - Constrain the contribution of the precipitation.
 - Predict the evolution of the ice-cap.





Introduction : Precipitation in Antarctica before 1990

\rightarrow Coastal areas :

- Episodic events due to cyclones, oceanic fronts and storms [Astapenko, 1964].
- Always "solid precipitation".
- Hard to measure due to the strong winds.



Elevation map from Drewry, 1983.

Introduction : Precipitation in Antarctica before 1990

\rightarrow High plateau area :

- Essentially snowfall under clear skies.
- 87 % of the 1967 annual precipitation consisted of ice crystals *[Kuhn, 1970; Radok and Lile, 1977]*.



Elevation map from Drewry, 1983.

5

Introduction : Precipitation in Antarctica before 1990

→ First estimates of Antarctic precipitation [Bromwhich, 1990]:

- Based on atmospheric water balance : from 44 mm/yr up to 77 mm/yr.
- Based on glaciological accumulation measurements : 153 mm/yr.



Elevation map from Drewry, 1983.

h

Introduction : Precipitation in Antarctica since 1990



Infrared composite

Introduction : Precipitation in Antarctica since 1990

- Data acquirement are enhanced and multiplied over the ice-sheet.
 - Snowpits, snow-stakes, ice-cores...
 - Remote sensing observations.
- Accumulation error calculated by comparing models and in-situ data.
 - Accumulation maps from compilation of collected data.
 - General Circulation Models and Meso-Scale Models.
 - Both present large errors.



In situ observations (in pink) and major drainage sectors from Arthern et al., 2006.

Introduction : Antarctica today

- Precipitation prediction still remains doubtful.
- Model are ranging snowfall rate from 160 mm/yr up to 300 mm/yr.



Outstanding questions

- Amount of precipitation over Antarctica ?
- → Geographical and seasonal distribution of precipitation ?
- → Processes controlling snowfall ?

In-situ precipitation

The APRES3 project

- Antarctic Precipitation, **Re**mote Sensing from Surface and Space project from the National Research Agency.
- France-Switzerland collaboration.
- **Goal** : Improve Antarctic precipitation.





The APRES3 project : a local precipitation study

- Two phases :
 - Snowfall observations.
 - \rightarrow Field campaigns and remote sensing observations.
 - Polar climate modeling.
 → With a global climate model (LMDz) and a mesoscale model.



Introduction : the APRES3 project

- **MxPol**: High-resolution dual-polarization radar with "3D" scanning.
- MRR : Vertically profiling radar with a 100 m resolution from 300 until 3000 m high.
- Conversion of radar reflectivity into snowfall rate by Ze/Sr relation :
 At Dumont d'Urville : 95% confidence by Grazioli et al., 2017.



The APRES3 project : a local precipitation study

• Using dual polarization radar and micro-rain radar we highlighted the processes of low-level snow sublimation.



Radar time series over DDU area on December 29, 2015. Grazioli et al., 2017.

The APRES3 project : a local precipitation study

- After one year of continuous acquisition over Dumont d'Urville and comparison with models.
 - Models are simulating sublimation too.

ECMWF model | MRR annual precipitation profile over DDU . November 2015 – October 2016. Grazioli et al., 2017.



•

The APRES3 project : a local precipitation study Katabatic winds are controlling low-levels sublimation over the coastal regions • at the margin of the Antarctic ice-sheet. Dumont d'Urville (DdU) T [°C] RH [%] W [km W [kmh⁻¹] 3.0 2.5 Height [km] 1.5 1.0 Katabatic flow 0.5 Inversion layer 0.0 Inversion wind -20 -15 -10 -5 60 80 100 40 60 80 Adiabatic Precipitation warming Plateau Surface radiative cooling Katabatic wind Blowing snow 800 m 300 m 210 km Dry air accumulation 100 km Slope break Katabatic dissipation Meteo-station data. November 2015 – October 2016. Grazioli et al., 2017.

Precipitation at continental scale

CloudSat : Continental remote sensing data

- Earth observation satellite belonging to the A-train (NASA).
- Meteorological radar :
 - Clouds and precipitation observations \rightarrow 153 mm/yr over 2007-2010 period.
 - Altitude limit for observation : ~ 1,2km.
 - 94 GHz frequency.



Haynes et al., 2009 Palerme et al., 2014

CloudSat : Continental remote sensing data

- Earth observation satellite belonging to the A-train (NASA).
- Meteorological radar :
 - Clouds and precipitation observations \rightarrow 153 mm/yr over 2007-2010 period.
 - Altitude limit for observation : ~ 1,2km.
 - 94 GHz frequency.





CloudSat : Continental remote sensing data

- Two MRR used :
 - At Dumont d'Urville station APRES3 project.
 - At Princess Elizabeth station additional data.
- Vertically profiling radar with a 100 m resolution from 300 until 3000 m high.



Events and data selection

- 4 precipitation events, 2 per station.
- CloudSat data passing through a 10 km radius around stations.
 - About 20 profiles per track.



CloudSat : Precipitation profiles comparison with MRR



Precipitation profiles comparison



- Correlation coefficient of 99,41 %.
- Reassessment of uncertainties by calculating CloudSat deviation from MRR :
 - → [-21,20% ; +25,43%]

Conclusion.

 \rightarrow Snow sublimation processes were observed for the first time in Antarctica.

→ We can trust observed precipitation by using CloudSat. → 153 [-32 +38] mm/yr at 1,2 km over surface.



[Grazioli et al., 2017]

Modelling



Modelling : LMDz



- Dynamical core.
 - \rightarrow Primitive hydrostatic equations of meteorology.
- Radiative transfer model.
 - \rightarrow RT equations (plane-parallel approximation).
- Physical parameterizations.
 - \rightarrow Large scale and shallow convection clouds.
 - \rightarrow Cloud scheme.
 - \rightarrow Conversion to rain and snowfall.

Modelling : Simulations using ERA-Interim nudging

- Nudged simulations relaxation term toward ERA-I reanalysis with a time constant τ of 3 hours.
 - Wind. \rightarrow Nudged dynamics.
 - Wind & temperature.
 - $\circ~$ Wind, temperature and humidity. \rightarrow Nudged physics.
- 96x71 points grid.
- 79 vertical levels.

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{u_{analysis} - u}{\tau}$$
$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{v_{analysis} - v}{\tau}$$

 $\tau\,$ Time constant for the relaxation of the model wind toward analyses

Coindreau, 2007

Modelling : Comparison between CloudSat and LMDz

• Comparison with CloudSat made at the same altitude.



Relative difference between surface precipitation and 1,2km-high precipitation.

Free climate simulation in annual mean.



Modelling : Comparison between CloudSat and LMDz



<u>Nudged dynamics (blue & green) :</u>

- Over-estimation of snowfall over both coastal and high continental areas.
- Wrong seasonal variability over the whole continent.

Nudged physics (red) :

- Good agreement between LMDz and CloudSat observations in annual mean.
- Over-estimation of snowfall over the high continental plateau
- Wrong seasonal variability.

Modelling : Conclusions

- Overestimation of the precipitation over the high plateau area by all simulations due to a continuous over-saturation of relative humidity controlling continental snowfall.
 - \rightarrow Zoomed simulations over DDU.



Modelling : Outlooks I – modelling

- New simulations :
 - \rightarrow Horizontal resolution ?
 - \rightarrow Vertical resolution ?
- New parametrisations :
 - \rightarrow Auto-conversion of the precipitation ?
 - \rightarrow Sedimentation ?
 - \rightarrow Advection ?
 - \rightarrow Wind velocity ? Katabatics ?
 - \rightarrow Subgrid precipitation ?



Modelling : Outlooks II – data comparison

 \rightarrow Multi-vertical levels comparison.

 \rightarrow A 3D comparison between CloudSat and LMDz model would improve our knowledge about precipitation processes over Antarctica.



