Comparison between non orographic gravity wave drag parameterizations used in QBOi models and Strateole 2 constant level balloons

4	F. Lott ¹ , R. Rani ¹ , C. McLandress ⁴ , A. Podglagen ¹ , A. Bushell ⁵ , M.
5	Bramberger ⁹ , HK. Lee ⁶ , J. Alexander ⁹ , J. Anstey ⁴ , HY. Chun ⁶ , A.
6	Hertzog ² , YH. Kim ⁷ , B. Legras ¹ , E. Manzini ⁸ , H. Naoe ¹⁰ , S. Osprey ¹¹ , R.
7	Plougonven ³ , H. Pohlmann ⁸ , J. H. Richter ¹² , J. Scinocca ⁴ , J. Serrano ¹³ , F.
8	Serva ¹⁴ , T. Stockdale ¹⁵ , S. Versick ¹⁶ , S. Watanabe ¹⁷ , K. Yoshida ¹⁷
9	¹ Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique (LMD)/IPSL, PSL Research Institute, Ecole Normale
10	Supérieure, Paris, France.
11	2 LMD/IPSL, Sorbone Université, Paris, France.
12	³ LMD/IPSL, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France
13	⁴ Canadian Centre for Climate Modelling and Analysis (CCCma), Victoria, Canada
14	⁵ Met Office, FitzRoy Road, Exeter, UK
15	⁶ Yonsei University, Seoul, South Korea
16	⁷ Institut für Atmosphäre und Umwelt, Goethe-Universität, Frankfurt am Main, Germany
17	⁸ Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany
18	⁹ NorthWest Research Associates, Boulder Office, Boulder, CO, USA
19	¹⁰ Meteorological Research Institute (MRI), Tsukuba, Japan
20	¹¹ Atmospheric, Oceanic and Planetary Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
21	¹² National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), Boulder, Colorado, USA
22	¹³ Group of Meteorology Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
23	¹⁴ Institute of Marine Sciences, National Research Council, Rome, Italy
24	¹⁹ European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), Reading, UK
25	¹⁰ Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany
26	'Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC), Yokohama, Japa

Key Points:

27

28	• The non-orographic parameterization tuned to produce a realistic tropical quasi-
29	biennial oscillation in global climate models are used to predict in-situ observa-
30	tions.
31	• Parameterized gravity waves needed in large-scale models have realistic amplitudes
32	in the tropical lower stratosphere.
33	• Day-to-day variations of the estimated gravity wave momentum fluxes correlate
34	in some cases with observations, except when launching level are near the tropopause.
35	• The probability density distribution of the parameterized momentum fluxes are
36	better reproduced when the schemes are not related to their convective sources
37	and/or when the launching level is in the lower and middle troposphere.
38	Version 1, date: December 28, 2023

Corresponding author: Francois Lott, flott@lmd.ens.fr

39 Abstract

Gravity Waves (GWs) parameterizations from 14 General Circulation Models (GCMs) 40 participating to the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation initiative (QBOi) are directly compared 41 to Strateole-2 balloon observations made in the lower tropical stratosphere from Novem-42 ber 2019 to February 2020 (phase 1) and from October 2021 and January 2022 (phase 43 2). The parameterizations used span the 3 state of the arts techniques used in GCMs 44 to represent subgrid scale non-orographic GWs, the two globally spectral techniques de-45 veloped by Hines (1997) and Warner and McIntyre (1999) respectively and the "mul-46 tiwaves" approaches following Lindzen (1981). The input meteorological fields necessary 47 to run the parameterizations offline are extracted from the ERA5 reanalysis and corre-48 spond to the instantaneous meteorological conditions found underneath the balloons. In 49 general, the amplitudes are in fair agreement between measurements of the momentum 50 fluxes due to waves with periods less than 1 hr and the parameterizations. The corre-51 lation of the daily values between the observations and the results of the parameteriza-52 tion can be around 0.4, which is statistically elevated considering that we analyse around 53 1200 days of data and sometime good considering that the parameterizations have not 54 been tuned: the schemes used are just the standard ones that help producing a Quasi-55 Biennial Oscillation (QBO) in the corresponding model. These correlations nevertheless 56 vary considerably between schemes and depend little on their formulation (globally spec-57 tral versus multiwaves for instance). We therefore attribute it to dynamical filtering all 58 schemes taking good care of it, whereas only few relate the gravity waves to their sources. 59 Except for one parameterization, significant correlations are mostly found for eastward 60 propagating waves, which may be due to the fact that during both Strateole 2 phases 61 the QBO phase is easterly at the altitude of the balloon flights. On the other hand, sta-62 tistical properties, like pdf of momentum fluxes seem better represented in spectral schemes 63 with constant sources than in schemes ("spectral" or "multiwaves") that relate GWs to 64 their convective sources. 65

66 Plain Language Summary

In most large-scale atmospheric models, gravity wave parameterizations are based 67 on well understood but simplified theories and parameters which are keyed to reduce sys-68 tematic errors on the planetary scale winds. In the equatorial regions, the most challeng-69 ing errors concern the Quasi Biennial Oscillation. Although it has never been verified 70 directly, it is expected that the parameterizations tuned this way should transport a re-71 alistic amount of momentum flux in both the eastward and westward directions when 72 compared to direct observations. Here we show that it is the case, to a certain extent, 73 using constant-level balloon observations at 20 km altitude. The method consists in com-74 paring directly, each day and at the location of the balloon the measured momentum fluxes 75 and the estimations from the gravity wave parameterizations used in the global mod-76 els that participate to the Quasi-Biennal Oscillation initiative and when using observed 77 values of the large-scale meteorological conditions of wind, temperature, precipitation, 78 and diabatic heating. 79

80 1 Introduction

It is well known that the large scale circulation in the middle atmosphere is in good 81 part driven by gravity waves (GWs) that propagate in the stratosphere (Andrews et al., 82 1987). These waves carry horizontal momentum vertically and interact with the large 83 scale flow when they break. The horizontal scale of these waves can be quite short, much 84 shorter than the horizontal scale of General Circulation Models (GCMs) so they need 85 to be parameterized (Alexander & Dunkerton, 1999). In the tropics, the convective GWs 86 are believed to dominate largely (Fovell et al., 1992; Alexander et al., 2000; Lane & Mon-87 crieff, 2008), they contribute significantly to the forcing of the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (QBO), a near 28-month oscillation of the zonal mean zonal winds that occurs in 89 the lower part of the equatorial stratosphere (Baldwin et al., 2001). For these reasons, 90 the parameterization of convective GWs is necessary for most GCMs to explicitly real-91 ize the QBO. 92

Although gravity wave parameterizations are now used in many models with suc-93 cess including in the tropics (Scinocca, 2003; Song & Chun, 2005; Beres et al., 2005; Orr 94 et al., 2010; Lott & Guez, 2013; Bushell et al., 2015; Anstey et al., 2016; Christiansen 95 et al., 2016; Serva et al., 2018), their validation using direct in situ observations remains 96 a challenge. There exist observations of GWs using global satellite observations (Geller 97 et al., 2013) but the GWs identified this way still have quite large horizontal scales, and 98 some important quantities like the Momentum Fluxes (MFs) are often deduced indirectly, qq for instance from temperature measurements using polarization relations (Alexander et 100 al., 2010; Ern et al., 2014). For these two reasons, in situ observations are essential, and 101 the most precise ones are provided by constant-level long-duration balloons, like those 102 made in the Antarctic region during Strateole-Vorcore (Hertzog, 2007) and Concordiasi 103 (Rabier et al., 2010), or in the deep tropics during PreConcordiasi (Jewtoukoff et al., 2013) 104 and Strateole 2 (Haase et al., 2018). Among many important results, these balloon ob-105 servations have shown that the momentum flux entering in the stratosphere is extremely 106 intermittent (Hertzog et al., 2012). This intermittency implies that the mean momen-107 tum flux is mostly transported by few large-amplitude waves that potentially break at 108 lower altitudes than when the GW field is more uniform. This property, when reproduced 109 by a parameterization (de la Cámara et al., 2014; Kang et al., 2017; Alexander et al., 110 2021), can help reduce systematic errors in the midlatitudes, for instance on the timing 111 of the final warming in the Southern Hemisphere polar stratosphere (de la Cámara et 112 al., 2016), or on the QBO (Lott et al., 2012). Balloon observations have also been used 113 to characterize the dynamical filtering by the large scale winds (Plougonven et al., 2017), 114 and to validate the average statistical properties of the GW momentum flux predicted 115 offline using reanalysis data (Kang et al., 2017; Alexander et al., 2021). 116

However, the evaluation of parameterizations using balloon observations often done 117 in the past were often quite indirect, and concern more their statistical behaviours (Jewtoukoff 118 et al., 2015; Kang et al., 2017; Alexander et al., 2021) then there capacity to to directly 119 predict instantaneous values of momentum fluxes. Maybe a good reason to believe so 120 is that parameterizations are based on simplified quasi-linear wave theory, they assume 121 spectral distributions that are loosely constrained, and they ignore lateral propagation 122 almost entirely (some attempt to include it can be found in Amemiya and Sato (2016)). 123 Nevertheless, some factors could mitigate these weaknesses. One is that in most param-124 eterizations the wave amplitude is systematically limited by a breaking criterion that en-125 capsulates nonlinear effects. An other is that some parameterizations explicitly relate 126 launched waves to sources, and there is constant effort to improve the realism of the con-127 vective ones (Liu et al., 2022). Also, observations systematically suggest that dynam-128 ical filtering by the large scale wind is extremely strong for upward propagating GWs 129 (Plougonven et al., 2017), and this central property is represented in most GW param-130 eterizations. For all these reasons, it may well be that GW parameterizations keyed to 131

the large scale conditions found at a given place and time gives MFs that can be directly compared to the MFs measured by a balloon at the same place.

Based on the relative success of the offline calculations done in the past using re-134 analysis data (Jewtoukoff et al., 2015; Kang et al., 2017; Alexander et al., 2021), Lott 135 et al. (2023) have shown that such a direct comparison gives result of interest. The first 136 is that a state of the art convective gravity wave drag scheme, predicts momentum fluxes 137 in the low equatorial stratosphere which amplitude can be directly compared with those 138 measured during phase 1 of the Strateole-2 balloon campaign. It gives a direct in-situ 139 observational confirmation that the theories and modelling of the QBOs developed over 140 the last 50 years were in good part correct about the significance of the GWs to the QBO 141 forcing. Also interesting, the comparison showed a good level of correlation between the 142 day to day variability in momentum fluxes between measured and observed fluxes, a cor-143 relation that is much better for waves carrying momentum fluxes in the eastward direc-144 tion than in the westward direction. It was suggested that such a good correlation was 145 due to the fact that the Lott and Guez (2013)'s scheme analysed relate the gravity waves 146 to their convective sources (not all schemes do) and that the GWs experience significant 147 dynamical filtering in the middle troposphere and lower stratosphere. Lott et al. (2023) 148 nevertheless revealed that a scheme that relates gravity waves to convection exclusively 149 somehow failed in predicting the right statistical behaviour of the momentum fluxes, the 150 probability density function of the momentum fluxes amplitude showing long tails for 151 low values of the MFs, suggesting missing processes like lateral propagation or the pres-152 ence of a background of waves which origin remains a challenge to predict. 153

The purpose of this paper is to continue such a direct comparison including more 154 recent Strateole 2 observations and near all the gravity wave parameterization schemes 155 used by the modelling groups participating to the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation initiative 156 (QBOi, Butchart et al., 2018). We will follow for that Lott et al. (2023) and use the 8 157 balloons of the first phase of the Strateole 2 campaign that flew in the lower tropical strato-158 sphere between November 2019 and February 2020 and extent it to the 15 balloons that 159 flew more than one day during the second phase of the Strateole 2 campaign, between 160 October 2021 and January 2022. For each of the flights and each time, we have identi-161 fied the grid point in the ERA5 reanalysis (Hersbach et al., 2020) that is the nearest and 162 used the vertical profiles of wind and temperature as well as the surface value of precip-163 itation to emulate the parameterization of GWs used in the global models that partic-164 ipated to the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation initiative (QBOi). We also extract from the anal-165 ysis and short range forecast, diabatic heatings and the cloud base and top altitudes needed 166 in some schemes to predict gravity waves. 167

¹⁶⁸ 2 Data and method

169

Dava ana motnoa

2.1 Parameterizations of non orographic gravity wave schemes

The parameterizations schemes used to predict orographic gravity waves belongs 170 to two well separated families, dating back from the 1980's when it becomes evident that 171 a good simulation of the middle atmosphere by global atmospheric models could not be 172 done without taking into account non-orographic gravity waves. The first family roots 173 in the formulation by Lindzen (1981), where the gravity wave field is represented by grav-174 ity waves that are monochromatic in the horizontal space and time. It was extended to 175 treat a large ensemble of waves by Alexander and Dunkerton (1999) making the assump-176 tion that the breaking of each waves could be made independent from the others. An 177 advantage of such schemes is that it roots in linear theories where sources like convec-178 tion and/or fronts can be introduced using closed form theories (Beres et al., 2005; Song 179 & Chun, 2005; Richter et al., 2010; Lott & Guez, 2013; de la Cámara & Lott, 2015). In 180 the following we will refer to such schemes as "multiwave", they are expensive because 181 they request a large amount of waves to represent well a realistic wave field, but this limit 182

	p_l	F_{LT}	$2\pi/m_*$	$ C_{\min}$
CMAM IFS ECEarth	100hPa 450hPa 450hPa	1.3mPa 5mPa 3.75mPa	1km 3km 2km	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
UKMO	1000hPa	Precip	4.3km	?

Table 1. WMI Parameters changing between CMAM, IFS, ECEarth, and UKMO. UKMO is shown distinctly because it is based on (Warner & McIntyre, 1999) simplified version of WMI rather than on (Scinocca, 2003)'s and realte launched MF to precipitations.

can easily be circumvented by using stochastic approaches (Eckermann, 2011; Lott et 183 al., 2012). As an alternative, but also to better represent breaking, many centers devel-184 oped globally spectral schemes. These schemes uses the observational fact that in ob-185 servations the vertical shape of the spectra have a quite universal character. In the early 186 1990's Hines (1991) developed a theory where GW breaking is represented by imposing 187 an upper limit to the range of vertical wavenumber, the limit being calculated accord-188 ing to the large scale wind and including a Doppler spreading by the other gravity waves 189 (see also Hines, 1997). The scheme has been implemented with success in various GCMs 190 (see for instance Manzini, McFarlane, & McLandress, 1997), and will be referred to as 191 "HDS" in the following. As an alternative, the theory in Warner and McIntyre (1996) 192 imposes gravity wave saturation according to an empirical spectra but treat vertical changes 193 in the spectra following GWs propagation invariant character. The theory has been sim-194 plified and/or optimized to permit implementation, for instance in the UKMO model (Warner 195 & McIntyre, 1999; Scaife et al., 2002) and in the CMAM model (Scinocca, 2003) respec-196 tively, and will be referred to has "WMI" in the following. To a certain extent, the spec-197 tral schemes can also take into account the relation with sources, for instance the HDS 198 scheme has been related to fronts in (Charron & Manzini, 2002), and the UKMO ver-199 sion of the WMI scheme to precipitations in (Bushell et al., 2015). 200

In the present paper, we are going to compare the GWs schemes used in 14 of the models that participate to QBOi, all belonging to one of the three type of schemes described above (WMI, HDS, and Multiwave). As all the multiwave schemes used relate GWs to their convective sources and as only one of the spectral scheme is doing so, the UKMOgws WMI scheme in (Bushell et al., 2015), the former will be discussed with the source-related multiwave schemes.

Among the 14 models, three use the (Scinocca, 2003)'s version of the WMI: CMAM, IFS and ECEarth. Their version for QBOi and further detailed in (Anstey et al., 2016; Orr et al., 2010; Davini et al., 2017), they essentially differ by four parameters, the launch level pressure p_l , the launched momentum flux F_{LT} , the characteristic vertical wavenumber m_* and a minimum intrinsic phase speed in the launched spectra, the values of each being given here in Table 2.1. Note that for EC-Earth the exact value of the parameters in Table 2.1 are from J. Garcia Serrano (private communication).

Still among the 14 models, 5 uses the (Hines, 1997)'s parameterization schemes presented in (Manzini et al., 1997). Between the five models, and in the Hines scheme, only changes between models the launching level p_l , the root mean square of the horizontal wind variability due to GWs at launch level σ , as well as an effective horizontal wavenumber K^* . There are also more numerical parameters that eventually changes, a minimum value for the the cutoff vertical wavenumber m_{\min} , and two parameters that control smoothing in the vertical of the GWs root mean square variance and cut-off vertical wavenum-

_	$ p_l$	σ_s	$2\pi/K^*$	m_{\min}	$ C_{\rm smo} $	N _{smo}
		I .		-		1
ECham5	450hPa	1.	125km	0	2	5
MIROC	650hPa	0.95	$250 \mathrm{km}$	$6.5 \ 10^{-5}$	2	2
MPIM	650hPa	1.2	$125 \mathrm{km}$	0	2	2
MRI-ESM	700hPa	1.9	1250km	$3.3 \ 10^{-4}$	4	2
EMAC	650hPa	1.	125km	0	2	2

Table 2. HDS Parameters changing between ECHam5, MIROC, MPIM, MRI-ESM, andEMAC.

	p_l	Phase Speed	Δz	Source
LMDz Yonsei WACCM	500hPa 900hPa-100hPa ?	-30m/s <intrinsic<30m s<br="">-100m/s<absolute<100m s<="" td=""><td>1km 100m-15km</td><td>Precip Heating rate Heating rate</td></absolute<100m></intrinsic<30m>	1km 100m-15km	Precip Heating rate Heating rate

Table 3. Some parameters changing between LMDz, YONSEI and WACCM, for information only the schemes being extremely distinct

ber, the coefficient $C_{\rm SMO}$ and the number of time the smoothing is applied $N_{\rm SMO}$ (see Table 2.1).

Finally the last 4 schemes we consider all links GWs to sources (convection or pre-223 cipitation), 3 are multiwaves and have been developed independently one from the oth-224 ers, LMDz, YONSEI, and WACCM and 1 uses the ultra simple version of the WMI schemes 225 presented in (Warner & McIntyre, 1999; Bushell et al., 2015) rather than the (Scinocca, 226 2003)'s version. The differences between the 3 multiwave schemes are numerous it is im-227 possible to details them, the reader is referred to the corresponding papers. The most 228 salient differences are in the source term, the launching levels and the intrinsic phase speed 229 of the launched waves. In LMDz is made the choice to relate the launched MF to square 230 precipitation P_r^2 consistent with linear theory before breaking (Lott & Guez, 2013) whereas 231 in (Bushell et al., 2015) it is related to $\sqrt{P_r}$ (see Table 2.1). Still in LMDz, the waves 232 are launched from the mid troposphere, whereas they are launched from the surface in he 233 UKMOgws model. In the Yonsei's and WACCM scheme (Song & Chun, 2005; Choi & 234 Chun, 2011), the launched momentum flux is directly related to convective heating dis-235 tributed in the vertical between the cloud bottom and cloud top, the launch altitude be-236 ing at the cloud top. In this case the launching level can vary between 2km and 15km237 typically and the depth of the heating between 100m and 15km. Also, the absolute phase 238 speed cover the ranges $100 \text{m/s} < C_{abs} < 100 \text{m/s}$. 239

2.2 Offline parameterization runs

240

To activate the schemes in offline mode we will use ERA-5 hourly data of precip-241 itation and 3-hourly data of winds, surface pressure, temperature, cloud liquid and ice 242 water content at $1^{o} \times 1^{o}$ horizontal grid to mimic a large scale climate model resolution. 243 Winds, surface pressure, temperature, and water contents are then linearly interpolated 244 on 1hr time step to be synchronised with precipitation. In the vertical we use data at 245 67 model levels, taking one every two ERA5 levels again to mimic large scale models ver-246 tical resolution but also to speed up calculations. To estimate convective heating rates 247 vertical profiles, we follow (Fueglistaler et al., 2009) and evaluate diabatic heating us-248

- eterized temperature tendency and the radiative heatings (longwave plus shortwave). When
- needed, we also evaluate the cloud bottom height and top height using the cloud water

²⁵² content (liquid+ice) from ERA5 reanalysis.



Figure 1. Strateole 2, Phase 2 balloon trajectories taking place between October 2021 and January 2022. Shading presents the precipitation field from ERA5 averaged over the period.

2.3 Strateole 2 balloon observations

253

The in situ observations we use are from the 8 balloons of the first phase of the Stra-254 teole 2 campaign that flew in the lower tropical stratosphere between November 2019 255 and February 2020 and from the 15 balloons that flew more than one day during the sec-256 ond phase of the Strateole 2 campaign, between October 2021 and January 2022. The 257 trajectories during phase 2 are shown in Figure 1, superimposed upon the averaged pre-258 cipitation (the same Figure but for phase 1 is in Lott et al. (2023)). In the MFs calcu-259 lated from observations Corcos et al. (2021) distinguish the waves with short periods (1hr-260 15mn) from the waves with period up to one day (1d-15mn). They also distinguish the 261 eastward waves giving positive MF in the zonal direction from the westward waves giv-262 ing negative MF. It is important to notice that during phase 2, the large scale winds con-263 ditions in the lower stratosphere are almost as during phase one at balloons altitudes (end 264 of eastward QBO phase). 265

In the following we will compare the momentum fluxes derived from the balloon 266 data, emphasize the intrinsic frequencies that the scheme represents (the intrinsic pe-267 riods below 1hr) and consider the ERA5 data at the points that are the nearest from 268 the balloon. The prediction is then made every hour and averaged over the day, partly 269 because it is the time scale needed for the some schemes to sample realistically a GW 270 field, and also because it takes around a day for a balloon flight to cover a model grid-271 scale. Note that some of the sensitivities to these choices are discussed in the Lott et al. 272 (2023)'s conclusion. 273



Figure 2. Time vertical sections of the zonal mean zonal wind (CI=10m/s, negative values are dashed and non-orographic gravity wave tendency averaged over the Equatorial band $(-6^{\circ}S - +6^{\circ}N)$. Input data are from ERA5 reanalysis and GWs prediction from the LMDz scheme. The 2 green boxes indicate schematically the altitude and time ranges of the Strateole 2 phase 1 and 2 flights considered in this study.

274 **3 Results**

Figure 3 shows time series of daily values of momentum fluxes predicted by the pa-275 rameterizations and measured during balloon flights 2 from strateole 2 phase 1. This is 276 also the flight shown in Fig. 3 in Lott et al. (2023), and where was also shown the time 277 series of daily precipitation and zonal wind at flight altitude. The top panel is for the 278 WMI based schemes, the middle panel for the HDS schemes and the bottom panels for 279 the schemes relating the GWs fluxes to their sources. In all panels the black curves are 280 for the daily observations. For clarity we present results for the Eastward and westward 281 MFs only. Overall ones sees that the schemes predict momentum flux values that some-282 how compare with the observed one, at least in term of amplitude. There are neverthe-283 less significant differences in behaviour. For instance, the IFS's schemes present substan-284 tial peaks in eastward flux during the second half of the flight, which is a period during 285 which the zonal wind at flight altitude becomes westward (see Figure 3b in Lott et al. 286 (2023)), potentially favoring eastward waves, a process we refer to as dynamical filter-287 ing in (Lott et al., 2023) (see Eq. 3 there and the following discussion). Note that in this 288 paper, we showed that the 3 peaks in measured fluxes around days 60, 75, and 83 also 289 correspond to dates when there are precipitations near the balloon location. These cor-290 respondences made us believe that the relation with convective sources is essential, we 291 see here that dynamical filtering alone may well be the main cause. Although having smaller 292 amplitudes, the Figure show that in EC-Earth, the momentum fluxes behave almost as 293 in IFS. The results from CMAM are quite different nevertheless. In this model it was 294 chosen to place the launching altitude near the trop pause the daily series present much 295 less fluctuations and long lasting "plateaus", clearly in this model, the distance between 296 the launching level (100hPa see Table 2.1) and the balloon altitude is too small for dy-297 namical filtering to be efficient. The second panel for the HDS schemes is not fundamen-298 tally different from what was discussed above. The amplitude and fluctuations are com-200 parable to observed, some schemes predicting values which look either larger or smaller 300



Figure 3. Comparison between daily averaged values of the eastward and westward MFs measured by the balloons during Strateole 2 phase 1 Flight 2 and estimated by the GW schemes at the balloon location and altitude. Colored curves are for the GW schemes predictions using ERA5 and from different models, black curves are for the observed MFs due to the 15mn-1hr GWs. a) WMI schemes; b) HDS Schemes; c) Multiwaves schemes relating launched MFs with convective sources or precipitations.

but staying within the range of observations. The behaviour of the source related schemes 301 in the last panel is more contrasted. As expected, there are long periods during which 302 the schemes predicted small and null momentum fluxes fluxes, interrupted by short last-303 ing peaks with values easily going beyond ± 5 mPa, values that were never reached by any 304 of other schemes during this flight. In contrast with LMDz and YONSEI, the UKMO 305 scheme present smaller amplitude and broader peaks, we attribute this to that it relates 306 the launched flux to $\sqrt{P_r}$ rather than P_r^2 in LMDz, or the square of heating in YON-307 SEI's. 308

An other example of timeseries is provided in Fig. 4, which corresponds to a flight during the second phase of strateole 2. Beyond the fact that the flight is shorter than in Fig. 3, a difference in duration that characterize most of the flights during phase 2,the overall behaviours stay about the same, with the spectral schemes presenting fluctuations with broader peaks, except maybe CMAM, again because the launching altitude is quite high and dynamical filtering not yet efficient at balloon flight altitude. The last panel also shows that UKMO present long periods with almost no fluxes, in it, the fact



Figure 4. a) Same as Fig 2 but for Strateole 2 Phase 2 Flight 7.

that the launching height is near the surface produces much more critical level situations during the propagation through the tropopause.



Figure 5. Scatter plot of the momentum fluxes measured by the balloon versus parameterized using different models. Only considered here the 18 balloon flights that last more than a month (East: black; West: red; Cumulated: green). Also shown are the correlations between observations and predictions, 99% significant levels are bold underlined, 95% are bold. Non significant values are shown in italic for information. The number of DoF for Pearson test is 18, about the number of balloon flights that last more than a month.

The fact that the different schemes estimate momentum fluxes of about the right 318 amplitude is summarized in Fig. 5 where the average of the fluxes over the 18 flights that 319 last more than a month (8 during phase 1, 10 during phase 2) are shown. In it we see 320 that the predicted values align quite well with the observed one, some schemes having 321 tendency to slightly underestimate the fluxes (MIROC, LMDz), other to overestimate 322 them (CMAM, YONSEI), with the tendency to overestimate being in general more pro-323 nounced for the westward fluxes. The numbers in each panels also show the correlation 324 between the 18 values averaged over each flights, showing that the correlations become 325 strong in many models, at least in the eastward direction. Interestingly some models also 326 have significant medium to high correlations in the westward direction (CMAM, LMDz, 327 YONSEI). 328

The Figure 6 group the models averaging the eastward and westward fluxes over 329 all the balloon flights, confirming again that the parameterizations used fall around the 330 observed values. There is variabilities between the models, but there is no systematic 331 tendency among the modellers to overstate or understate the MFs flux amplitude. This 332 is summarized by the green curve which represents the average over models and over bal-333 loon flights. The average amplitude of the eastward flux is very near that observed (a 334 10% overestimation between 0.45mPa in parameterizations against 0.40mPa observed). 335 whereas the westward flux are overestimated by the models by less than 20% (-0.65mPa 336 parameterized against -0.55 mPa observed). This 10%-20% errors explain the quite large 337



Figure 6. East, West and cumulated zonal momentum fluxes averaged over the Strateole 2 phase 1 and 2 period and according to participating models.

relative error (50%) in the cumulated flux but for it the large relative error is in good due to the fact that large positive and negative fluxes opposed each other.

The daily series in Figs 3 and 4 also suggest that observations and offline estima-340 tions sometimes evolve similarly day after day, a reason could be that both measured 341 and parameterized MFs are sensitive to dynamical filtering, some schemes also taking 342 into account sources. In the two examples given here, it is quite apparent in the first (Fig-343 ure 3) and for instance for the peaks in the eastward direction as already discussed. Cor-344 respondences are less obvious to visualize in the second case (Figure 4) where the evo-345 lution of the measured MFs present less variations than the predicted MFs. In (Lott et 346 al., 2023) we analysed these daily variabilities flights by flights and indeed found that 347 is some flights the series correlate well whereas in others they do not. The contrast be-348 tween flights made that in the end the correlations where significant but "medium" in 349 the eastward direction $C \approx 0.5$ and "low" in the westward direction $C \approx 0.3$. Here 350 and the following, we referred to "medium" positive correlations with 0.3 < C < 0.5351 and small correlations when 0.1 < C < 0.3. As such a result was obtain from the LMDz 352 parameterization during Strateole 2 phase 1 the coefficients are given again in the 9th 353 column of Table 4. In it are also given the same coefficients but for Phase 2, confirm-354 ing with an independent datasets the results in Lott et al. (2023). Consistent with Lott 355 et al. (2023) but evaluating correlations over phases 1 and 2, we indeed found medium 356 correlation in the Eastward phase (C = 0.4) and small in the westward phase (C = 0.4)357 0.34). Here and for completeness, note that as in (Lott et al., 2023), and to test the sig-358 nificance, we measure the number of Degrees of Freedom (DoF) present in each dataset, 359 and calculate for that the decorrelation time scale, which we take as the lag in day be-360 yound which the lag-autocorrelation of the series falls below 0.2. As this time-lag varies 361 from one series to the other, we give explicitly in column 5, the number of DoF, which 362 is the duration of the flight divided by the decorrelation time scale. Note that for their 363 decorrelation time, we consider for simplicity that evaluated with daily averaged obser-364 vations, but found that it is not much different from that evaluated with the offline es-365 timates (not shown). 366

East	Day	CM	IFS	ECE	Ech	MI	MPI	MRI	EM	LMD	UK	YON
	Dof	AM		ARTH	am5	ROC	M	ESM	AC	z	MO	SEI
Phase 1	670-216	-0.07	0.53	0.52	0.52	0.48	0.49	0.44	0.48	0.51	0.34	0.32
Phase 2	621-322	-0.19	0.41	0.38	0.38	0.33	0.34	0.30	0.33	0.40	0.34	0.20
Phase $1+2$	1291-538	-0.11	0.49	0.47	0.45	0.41	0.41	0.36	0.40	0.46	0.34	0.27
West	Day	CM	IFS	ECE	Ech	MI	MPI	MRI	EM	LMD	UK	YON
	Dof	AM		ARTH	am5	ROC	M	ESM	AC	z	MO	SEI
Phase 1	670-216	0.14	-0.07	-0.07	-0.13	-0.03	-0.04	-0.04	-0.04	0.29	-0.03	0.10
Phase 2	621-322	0.21	0.18	0.16	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.05	-0.01	0.40	0.04	0.13
Phase 1+2	1291-538	0.17	0.05	0.04	-0.05	-0.02	-0.02	0.01	-0.02	0.34	0.00	0.11

Table 4. Correlation between observed and measured fluxes, strateole phases 1 and 2.

If we now look at the schemes used in the other models, the result are contrasted 367 but quite in agreement. A lot a variations between flights (not shown) the overall be-368 haviour being well summarized in the global correlation coefficients shown in Table 4. 369 First, and as for LMDz, the correlations evaluated using Phase 2 data stay robust when 370 compared to correlations evaluated using phase 1, and whatever is the level of correla-371 tion ("medium", "low", or "non significant"). Second, is that many schemes managed 372 to have "medium" correlations (0.3 < C < 0.5) in the eastward direction, except the 373 CMAM scheme. We attribute this to the fact that in this model the launching level is 374 near the tropopause which strongly mitigates dynamical filtering. Also interesting, the 375 YONSEI scheme is the one is the lowest correlation after CMAM, in case of deep con-376 vections it also launch waves from quite high levels in the troposphere suggesting that 377 in it as well and for some waves with strong eastward flux, dynamical filtering did not 378 have time to differentiate the waves between the launching level and the balloon level. 379 The results in the westward direction are more intriguing, the correlations are always 380 small except for 1 scheme (LMDz) and some but "low" correlations are found for the two 381 schemes that launch waves quite near the tropopause. We have difficulties in interpret-382 ing this last result, it may be tells that the approaches where some waves are launched 383 from near the tropopause should not be disregarded, and that launching from a fixed al-384 titude well in the tropospheres fails in some cases. But if this is the case, the performance 385 of LMDz are somehow in contradiction, in it the launching level is in the mid troposphere, 386 as many other schemes according to tables 2.1-2.1, maybe its skill come from the fact 387 that LMDz explicitly launch waves according to their intrinsic frequency at launch level 388 a property that is maybe more indirect in the spectral schemes. 389

Controversy here? Are we right when writting the above two lines? They are quite vague and can be deleted.

Whatever is the explanation, it is maybe more interesting to notice that there is room to improve GWs parameterizations to obtain better fits between predicted and measured fluxes in both directions of propagation.



Figure 7. PDFs of daily values of Momentum flux distribution evaluated from Strateole Phases 1 and 2. The PDFs are calculated from histograms of 1291 MFs daily value within intervals of $\Delta \left(\log_{10} \rho \overline{u'w'} (\text{mPa}) \right) = 0.05$, thereafter smoothed by a 5 point non-recursive filter with weight (0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.2, 0.1). Measured values are in green, log normal fits are in blue. Solid lines are for Eastward, dashed lines are for Westward. Here the log normal probability density function is defined as $P(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-(X-M)^2/(2S^2)}$, where $X = \log_{10}\rho |\overline{u'w'}|$, and M and S the mean and standard deviations given in caption.

As said in the introduction, more than predicting the right fluxes at the right time, 395 it is often believed that parameterizations should better be validated against their global 396 statistical behaviour. A reason is that observed gravity waves show a strong level of in-397 termittency such an intermittency impacting the the effect of the waves on the large scale 398 flow and climate in the middle atmosphere. In a recent Paper, Green et al. (2023) showed 399 that this behaviour is well captured when the GWs MFs have pdfs following a log-normal 400 distribution. These authors even concluded that in all directions of propagation, momen-401 tum fluxes characteristics could be summarized in terms terms of the mean and variance 402 of log normal distributions. As shown before in (Lott et al., 2023) such lognormal dis-403 tributions describe well the Strateole-2 data, a behaviour illustrated further well when 404 evaluating the pdfs over phase 1 and 2 (see Fig. 7. One also sees that the balloons al-405 most systematically measure fluxes with amplitude between 0.1mPa and 10mPa, the pdf 406 of the westward fluxes being shifted toward higher values compared to that for eastward 407 flues the shapes being little changed. 408

To analyse our schemes in this framework the Figure 8 presents PDFs of the dis-409 tributions of the momentum fluxes considering all the daily data. For the observed PDFs 410 (green solid for eastward fluxes, green dashed for westward fluxes), When one consider 411 the parameterizations schemes, one notice that the pdf are often much broader than the 412 observed pdfs with the WMI schemes than whereas the HDS schemes seem more real-413 istic in this respect. The HDS schemes are also those for which the shift of the westward 414 pdf toward higher values is the more realistically reproduced. Finally, the schemes that 415 relate GWs to convection systematically have much broader pdfs, they all present a tail 416 toward small values of the MFs, suggesting that in them miss a background of wave ac-417 tivity existing even in the absence of convection nearby. 418

We also notice that the in all models, the pdf of the westward fluxes are shifted to higher values compared to the pdfs of the eastward fluxes, and this is consistent with the fact that in an easterly phase, waves in the westward direction can reach larger amplitudes than the waves in the eastward direction (dynamical filtering again and always consistent with observations). To a large extent, and for most of the spectral scheme this



Figure 8. PDFs of daily values of Momentum flux distribution, same method as in Fig. 7. Measure values are in green, estimations using ERA5 data and the parameterizations are in black. Solid lines are for Eastward, dashed lines are for Westward.

supports the results in Green et al. (2023) where the difference in GW momentum fluxes
between direction of propagations could essentially be summarized by log-normal pdfs
shifted by differences in mean values.

427 **4** Conclusion

The main result of this paper is that state of the art parameterizations of GWs re-428 produce reasonably well the momentum flux due to the high-frequency waves (periods 429 between 15mn and 1hr) deduced from in situ measurements made onboard constant-level 430 balloons. The parameterizations represent well the eastward and westward values of the 431 stress and in some cases their variations from day to day. Although the various schemes 432 performed differently regarding the day to day correlations, our results show that im-433 provement can be done in this regard. Some scheme for instance present "medium" cor-434 relations in the eastward direction, telling that such correlation levels can be reached. 435 In the westward direction, the day to day correlations are "low", to the best and in 1 436 model, we can only say that such a level can also be reached in the tropical regions. 437

⁴³⁸ Due to the low to medium level of correlations we found, we could ask ourselves ⁴³⁹ if it is mandatory to improve GW schemes according to such a criteria. After all, when ⁴⁴⁰ the momentum fluxes are averaged over periods near a month (here we rather consider ⁴⁴¹ averages over balloon flights), the correlations become "medium" to "strong" in the east-⁴⁴² ward direction (see 5) and frequently medium in the westward direction, which is prob-⁴⁴³ ably enough in the context of the QBO forcing, the QBO evolving over time scales much ⁴⁴⁴ larger than a month.

An other substantial difference concerns the pdfs of the parameterized momentum fluxes against those of the measured fluxes. Many spectral schemes have log-normal pdfs

consistent with observations, providing that the launch level is not to close from the bal-447 loon location, whereas the schemes that relate the GWs to their convective sources all 448 present tails toward small values which seem unrealistic. As intermittency is a key fac-449 tor controlling the altitude at which GWs break, a factor that can have climatic impacts 450 ((de la Cámara et al., 2016)), this should be considered seriously, at least by introduc-451 ing a background in wave launching amplitude in the schemes that only consider con-452 vective sources. This issue may well also be partly sorted out by introducing lateral prop-453 agation (Amemiya & Sato, 2016), a process that is important in the balloon observations 454 used here (Corcos et al., 2021), but this will not be sufficient over quite large and dry 455 regions. 456

We did not try to fit the parameters of the schemes we use in order to improve daily 457 correlations or pdfs or both, but we plan to do it in the near future. We have not much 458 data though, but could use the Loon data post-processed in a comparable way as Stra-459 teole 2 by (Green et al., 2023), which would permit to cover much wider regions. We should 460 also test if improving the schemes parameters to improve the fit with observations im-461 prove or do not degrade the models climate. It well may be that parameterizations com-462 pensate for potentially resolved equatorial waves for instance, the latter showing a lot 463 of variability between the QBOi models (Holt et al., 2022). Also, we could also hope that 464 a better fit with observed values would help reduced persistent systematic errors in the 465 QBO simulations, one of them being that models underestimate the QBO amplitude in 466 the low stratosphere. Unfortunatly, our results so far are not much positive: a common 467 beleive is that such an error could well be reduced by launching waves from near the tropopause, 468 the only model which do so here is not much realistic when it comes to predict MFs vari-469 abilities. 470

⁴⁷¹ **5** Open Research

- Balloon data presented in Haase et al. (2018) can be extracted from the STRA-TEOLE 2 dedicated web site: https://webstr2.ipsl.polytechnique.fr
- ERA5 reanalysis data are described in Hersbach et al. (2020) and can be extracted from the COPERNICUS access hub: https://scihub.copernicus.eu/
 - The LMDz-6A GCM used for CMIP6 project is described in Hourdin et al. (2020),
- it can be directly installed from the dedicated webpage: https://lmdz.lmd.jussieu.fr/utilisateurs/installation lmdz

479 Acknowledgments

476

⁴⁸⁰ This work was supported by the VESRI Schmidt Future project "DataWave".

481 Appendix: Running the offline code

To run the models parameterizations in offline mode and compare with daily values of momentum fluxes measured during strateole 2, download the file offline_v9_Strateole_QBOi_Open.tar, on the web page:

wget https://web.lmd.jussieu.fr/~flott/DATA/offline_v9_Strateole_QBOi 485 _Open.tar.gz 486 Then gunzip and do tar -xvf offline_v9_Strateole_QBOi_Open.tar 487 In the directory, offline_v9_Strateole_QBOi_Open: 488 Run directory It basically contains a script that compile the programs, link to the in-489 put dataset and produce various outputs. The Makefile certainly needs to be adapted 490 to the computer. 491 To launch predictions for Strateole-2 phase 1, launch: ./laun_ph1ball_gwd_era5.sh For phase 2, $ph1 \rightarrow ph2$. 493 Fortran Codes: all the fortran routines are located in prog. 494 laun_gwd_era5.f90: Main program loading input data in netcdf format and cal-495 culating drag and momentum fluxes at the balloon place. 496 preci_gwd_LMDz_QBOi.f90: LMDz Multiwaves routines predicting gwdrag from 497 precipitation gwsat_Modnam.f90: the globally spectral scheme using the Warner and McIn-499 tyre (1996)'s scheme version by J. Scinocca. 500 hinesgw6g_plus_subs.f HDS scheme 501 gw_ussp_core.f90: The WMI scheme with amplitude keyed to precipitation used 502 in some UKMO runs. 503 cgwcalc.f90: Multiwave scheme developped at Yonsei's university 504 Input Data: All the input data are located in the directories hourly_ph1 and hourly_ph2 505 for phase 1 and 2 respectively. For instance, 1hr average of the strateole2 momen-506 tum fluxes are in 507 ALL_STRATEOLE2_Balloon_ph1_1day15min.nc 508 and 509 All_STRATEOLE2_Balloon_ph1_1hrs15min.nc 510 for the waves with periods between 1day and 15mn and between 1Hr and 15 mn 511 respectively. 512 Still in this directory, the ERA5 reanalysis products, which include winds tem-513 perature, cloud liquid water, and surface log pressure, over a 5°x5° domain cen-514 tered at the balloons drifting locations are in Input_ERA5_data_all_variables_balloons_ph1.nc. 515 Precipitation every hours are also included. The diabatic heatings are from fore-516

517	cast. All datas that are only provided every 3hr are linearly interpolated in time
518	to give hourly values.
519	Output data (Part 1)
520	All the ouputs are in the output_ph1 and output_ph2 directories:
521	Netcdf: contains the output of the schemes in netcdf format on the vertical col-
522	umn and over the $5^{\circ}x5^{\circ}$ domain over which the ER5 data are provided. There is
523	one netcdf dataset by balloons flight each contains output from all the schemes.
524	Balloon_alt After post processing by the python scripts launch_script_obs.py, are
525	extracted the MFs at balloon flight altitude.
526	Python Scripts
527	A serie of Python scripts, located into python_script are proposed to compare the
528	outputs of the scheme to the balloon data.
529	launch_script_obs.py : Reads the balloon flight data of MFs and averaged over
530	1day and writte them in text format (ending with '.dat') and stored in output/Balloon_alt/obs_output
531	launch_prediction_eachB_ysei.py : extract from the prediction the values of the
532	MFs at the balloons place and altitude. Results stored in text format (".dat" in
533	Balloon_alt/Pred_output_Balloon_altitude/.
534	The next python scripts are cosmetic in the sense that they use the above two datasets
535	to make plots of timeseries balloon averaged values, evaluate correlations, and his-
536	tograms.
537	timeseries_obs_pred_plot_all.py Produces a lot of time series for each model
538	and flights.
539	Output data (Part2) As a result, you can visualize timeseries of each flight here:
540	${f output_ph1/Balloon_alt/figure_timeseries}$
541	Histograms here: output_ph1/histo
542	Scatter plots and correlations here output_ph1/correlation
543	For phase 2, change ph1 in ph2.
544	xmgrace Alternative to calculate these diagnostics using fortran programs and xmgrace,
545	the programs permit to combine statistics over the 2 phases of Strateole2.

546 **References**

- 547Alexander, M. J., Beres, J. H., & Pfister, L. (2000).Tropical stratospheric grav-548ity wave activity and relationships to clouds.Journal of Geophysical Re-549search: Atmospheres, 105 (D17), 22299-22309.doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/5502000JD900326
- Alexander, M. J., & Dunkerton, T. J. (1999). A Spectral Parameterization of Mean Flow Forcing due to Breaking Gravity Waves. J. Atmos. Sci., 56(24), 4167–
 4182. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1999)056(4167:ASPOMF)2.0.CO;2
- Alexander, M. J., Geller, M., McLandress, C., Polavarapu, S., Preusse, P., Sassi,
 F., ... Watanabe, S. (2010). Recent developments in gravity-wave effects in
 climate models and the global distribution of gravity-wave momentum flux
 from observations and models. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 136, 1103-1124. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.637
- Alexander, M. J., Liu, C. C., Bacmeister, J., Bramberger, M., Hertzog, A., &
 Richter, J. H. (2021). Observational validation of parameterized gravity waves
 from tropical convection in the whole atmosphere community climate model.
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126(7), e2020JD033954.
 (e2020JD033954 2020JD033954) doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033954
- Amemiya, A., & Sato, K. (2016). A new gravity wave parameterization including
 three-dimensional propagation. Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan.
 Ser. II, 94(3), 237-256. doi: 10.2151/jmsj.2016-013
- Andrews, F. G., Holton, J., & Leovy, C. (1987). *Middle atmosphere dynamics*. Academic Press.
- Anstey, J. A., Scinocca, J. F., & Keller, M. (2016). Simulating the qbo in an atmospheric general circulation model: Sensitivity to resolved and parameterized forcing. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 73(4), 1649 1665. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0099.1
- ⁵⁷³ Baldwin, M. P., Gray, L. J., Dunkerton, T. J., Hamilton, K., Haynes, P. H., Randel,
 ⁵⁷⁴ W. J., ... Takahashi, M. (2001). The quasi-biennial oscillation. *Rev. Geophys.*,
 ⁵⁷⁵ 39(2), 179-229. doi: 10.1029/1999RG00007
- 576Beres, J. H., Garcia, R. R., Boville, B. A., & Sassi, F.(2005).Implementa-577tion of a gravity wave source spectrum parameterization dependent on the578properties of convection in the whole atmosphere community climate model579(waccm).Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 110(D10).580https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005504
- Bushell, A. C., Butchart, N., Derbyshire, S. H., Jackson, D. R., Shutts, G. J.,
- Vosper, S. B., & Webster, S. (2015). Parameterized gravity wave momentum fluxes from sources related to convection and large-scale precipitation
 processes in a global atmosphere model. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 72(11), 4349–4371. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0022.1
- Butchart, N., Anstey, J. A., Hamilton, K., Osprey, S., McLandress, C., Bushell,
 A. C., ... Yukimoto, S. (2018). Overview of experiment design and comparison of models participating in phase 1 of the sparc quasi-biennial oscillation initiative ("qboi"). Geoscientific Model Development, 11(3), 1009–1032. doi: 10.5194/gmd-11-1009-2018
- ⁵⁹¹ Charron, M., & Manzini, E. (2002). Gravity waves from fronts: Parameter ⁵⁹² ization and middle atmosphere response in a general circulation model.
 ⁵⁹³ Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 59(5), 923 941. doi: 10.1175/
 ⁵⁹⁴ 1520-0469(2002)059(0923:GWFFPA)2.0.CO;2
- ⁵⁹⁵ Choi, H.-J., & Chun, H.-Y. (2011). Momentum flux spectrum of convective gravity waves. part i: An update of a parameterization using mesoscale
 ⁵⁹⁷ simulations. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 68(4), 739 759. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JAS3552.1
- ⁵⁹⁹ Christiansen, B., Yang, S., & Madsen, M. S. (2016). Do strong warm enso events ⁶⁰⁰ control the phase of the stratospheric qbo? *Geophysical Research Letters*,

 Corcos, M., Hertzog, A., Plougonven, R., & Pedglajen, A. (2021). Observation of gravity waves at the tropical tropopause using superpressure balloons. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, J26(15), e221JD035165. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035165 Davini, P., von Hardenberg, J., Corti, S., Christensen, H. M., Juricke, S., Subramanian, A., Palmer, T. N. (2017). Climate sphinx: evaluating the impact of resolution and stochastic physics parameterisations in the cc-earth global climate model. Geoscientific Model Development, 10(3), 1383–1402. Retrieved from https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/10/1383/2017/ doi: 10.5194/gmd.10-1383-2017 de la Cámara, A., & Lott, F. (2015). A parameterization of gravity waves emitted by fronts and jets. Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(6), 2071-2078. doi: 10.1002/2015GL063298 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., & Hertzog, A. (2014). Intermittency in a stochastic parameterization of nonorographic gravity waves. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 119(21), 11905-11919. doi: 10.1002/2014JD022002 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., Jewtonkoff, V., Plougonven, R., & Hertzog, A. (2016). On the gravity wave fording during the southern stratospheric final warning in LMDZ. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-150377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 1. Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427-1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)0492(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CO; 2 Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper tropophere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecnwf reanalyses. Quaraterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638),	601	43(19), 10,489-10,495. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070751
 gravity waves at the tropical tropopause using superpressure balloons. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126(15), e2021D035165. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2021D035165 Davini, P., von Hardenberg, J., Corti, S., Christensen, H. M., Juricke, S., Subramanian, A., Palmer, T. N. (2017). Climate sphinx: evaluating the impact of resolution and stochastic physics parameterisations in the cc-earth global climate model. Geoscientific Model Development, 10(3), 1383–1402. Retrived from https://gdu.copernicus.org/articles/10/1383/2017/ doi: 10.5194/gmd-10-1383.2017 de la Cámara, A., & Lott, F. (2015). A parameterization of gravity waves emitted by fronts and jets. Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(6), 2071-2078. doi: 10.1002/2015GL063298 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., Kertzog, A. (2014). Intermittency in a stochastic parameterization of nonorographic gravity waves. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 119(21), 11905-11919. doi: 10.1002/2014JD022002 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., Jevtoukoff, V., Plougonven, R., K. Hertzog, A. (2016). On the gravity wave forcing during the southern stratospheric final warming in LMDZ. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 .1 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves: suburted of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves: Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.C0; 2 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Gra	602	Corcos, M., Hertzog, A., Plougonven, R., & Podglajen, A. (2021). Observation of
 of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126(15), e2021JD035165. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035165 Davini, P., von Hardenberg, J., Corti, S., Christensen, H. M., Juricke, S., Subramanian, A., Palmer, T. N. (2017). Climate sphinx: evaluating the impact of resolution and stochastic physics parameterisations in the ec-earth global climate model. Geoscientific Model Development, 10(3), 1383–1402. Retrieved from https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/10/1383/2017 de la Cámara, A., & Lott, F. (2015). A parameterization of gravity waves emitted by fronts and jets. Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(6), 2071-2078. doi: 10.1002/20105GL063298 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., & Hertzog, A. (2014). Intermittency in a stochastic parameterization of noncorgraphic gravity waves. J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 119(21), 11905-11919. doi: 10.1002/2014D022002 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., Jewtoukoff, V., Plougowen, R., & Hertzog, A. (2016). On the gravity wave Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15.0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Noncorgraphic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 .1 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves suit the QBO: A satellite perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013D020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively guerated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheres, 20(2).Co: 2 Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morerette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(G88), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/92018E0091097	603	gravity waves at the tropical tropopause using superpressure balloons. <i>Journal</i>
 doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035165 Davini, P., von Hardenberg, J., Cotti, S., Christensen, H. M., Juricke, S., Subramanin, A., Palmer, T. N. (2017). Climate sphinx: evaluating the impact of resolution and stochastic physics parameterisations in the cc-earth global climate model. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i>, 10(3), 1383-1402. Retrieved from https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/10/1383/2017/ doi: 10.1014/gmd.10-1383-2017 de la Cámara, A., & Lott, F. (2015). A parameterization of gravity waves emitted by fronts and jets. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i>, 42(6), 2071-2078. doi: 10.1002/2015GL063298 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., & Hertzog, A. (2014). Intermittency in a stochastic parameterization of nonorographic gravity waves. <i>J. Geophys. Res.</i>. Atmospheres, 119(21), 11905-11919. doi: 10.1002/2014JD022002 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., Levtoukoff, V., Plougoneven, R., & Hertzog, A. (2016). On the gravity wave forcing during the southern stratospheric final warning in LMDZ. <i>J. Atmos. Sci.</i>, 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag. <i>J. Atmos. Sci.</i>, 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 J. Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres</i>, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves. <i>Journal of Atmosphere Sciences</i>, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.C0; 2 Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Mocrette, J., J., Simmons, A., Tomp-kins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratospherer in ecnwf reanalyses. <i>Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteo</i>	604	of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126(15), e2021JD035165. doi: https://
 Davini, P., von Hardenberg, J., Corti, S., Christensen, H. M., Juricke, S., Subramanian, A., Palmer, T. N. (2017). Climate splinx: evaluating the impact of resolution and stochastic physics parameterisations in the c-earth global climate model. Geoscientific Model Development, 10(3), 1383–1402. Retrieved from https://gmd.copenricus.org/articles/10/1383/2017/ doi: 10.5194/gmd-10-1383-2017 de la Cámara, A., & Lott, F. (2015). A parameterization of gravity waves emitted by fronts and jets. Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(6), 2071-2078. doi: 10.1002/2015GL063298 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., K Hertzog, A. (2014). Intermittency in a stochastic parameterization of noncorgraphic gravity waves. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmosspheres, 119(21), 11905-11919. doi: 10.1002/2014JD022002 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., Jewtoukoff, V., Plougonen, R., & Hertzog, A. (2016). On the gravity waves foring during the southern stratospheric final warming in LMDZ. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D15-0377.1 Eckermann, S.D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 J. Fun, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves. Juna of Atmosphere S, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Methypsical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of the thygaid Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qi.361 Geller, M. A., Alexauder, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T	605	doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035165
 nian, A., Palmer, T. N. (2017). Climate sphinx: evaluating the impact of resolution and stochastic physics parameterisations in the cc-earth global climate model. Geoscientific Model Development, 10(3), 1383-1402. Retrieved from https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/10/1383/2017/ doi: 10.5194/gmd-10-1383-2017 de la Cámara, A., & Lott, F. (2015). A parameterization of gravity waves emitted by fronts and jets. Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(6), 2071-2078. doi: 10.1002/2015GL063298 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., & Hertzog, A. (2014). Intermittency in a stochastic parameterization of nonorographic gravity waves. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 119(21), 11905-11919. doi: 10.1002/2014DD022002 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., betvoluoff, V., Plougonven, R., & Hertzog, A. (2016). On the gravity wave forcing during the southern stratospheric final warning in LMDZ. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 .1 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preuse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves statellite perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CO; 2 Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troopophere and lower/mid stratosphere in enwire manyses. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Sciety, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qi.361 Geller, M. A, Alexander, M. J., Love,	606	Davini, P., von Hardenberg, J., Corti, S., Christensen, H. M., Juricke, S., Subrama-
 of resolution and stochastic physics parameterisations in the ec-earth global climate model. Geoscientific Model Development, 10(3), 1383-1402. Retrieved from https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/10/1383/2017/ doi: 10.5194/gmd-10-1383-2017 de la Cámara, A., & Lott, F. (2015). A parameterization of gravity waves emitted by fronts and jets. Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(6), 2071-2078. doi: 10.1002/2015GL063298 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., & Hertzog, A. (2014). Intermittency in a stochastic parameterization of noncorgraphic gravity waves. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 119(21), 11905-11919. doi: 10.1002/2014JD02002 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., Jewtoukoff, V., Plougonven, R., & Hertzog, A. (2016). On the gravity wave forcing during the southern stratospheric final warming in LMDZ. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/J.JAS-Di-50-0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Noncorgraphic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 1 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheres, 119, 2329-255. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmosphere Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CO; 2 Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in emity reanalyses. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/01361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes	607	nian, A., Palmer, T. N. (2017). Climate sphinx: evaluating the impact
 climate model. Geoscientific Model Development, 10(3), 1383-1402. Retrieved from https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/10/1383/2017/ doi: 10.5194/gmd-10-1383-2017 de la Cámara, A., & Lott, F. (2015). A parameterization of gravity waves emitted by fronts and jets. Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(6), 2071-2078. doi: 10.1002/ 2015GL063298 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., & Hertzog, A. (2014). Intermittency in a stochastic parameterization of noncorgraphic gravity waves. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 119(21), 11905-11919. doi: 10.1002/214JD022002 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., Jewtoukoff, V., Plougonven, R., & Hertzog, A. (2016). On the gravity wave forcing during the southern stratospheric final warning in LMDZ. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Noncorgraphic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 1 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preuse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves with the QBO: A satellite perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CO; 2 Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in cemwf reanalyses. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/01361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations a	608	of resolution and stochastic physics parameterisations in the ec-earth global
 trieved from https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/10/1383/2017/ doi: 10.5194/gmd-10-1383-2017 de la Cámara, A., & Lott, F. (2015). A parameterization of gravity waves emitted by fronts and jets. Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(6), 2071-2078. doi: 10.1002/2015GL063298 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., & Hertzog, A. (2014). Intermittency in a stochastic parameterization of nonorographic gravity waves. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 119(21), 11905-11919. doi: 10.1002/2014JD022002 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., & Hertzog, A. (2014). Comparation of the gravity wave forcing during the southern stratospheric final warming in LMDZ. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 .1 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves with the QBO: A satellite perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(192)019(1427.NSOCGS)2.0.CO; 2 Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ccmwf reanalyses. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/dj.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alex	609	climate model. Geoscientific Model Development, 10(3), 1383–1402. Re-
 10.5194/gmd-10-1383-2017 de la Cámara, A., & Lott, F. (2015). A parameterization of gravity waves emitted by fronts and jets. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i>, 42(6), 2071-2078. doi: 10.1002/2015GL063298 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., & Hertzog, A. (2014). Intermittency in a stochastic parameterization of noncorgraphic gravity waves. <i>J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres</i>, 119(21), 11905-11919. doi: 10.1002/2014JD022002 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., Jewtoukoff, V., Plougonven, R., & Hertzog, A. (2016). On the gravity wave forcing during the southern stratospheric final warming in LMDZ. <i>J. Atmos. Sci.</i>, 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Noncorgraphic Gravity Wave Drag. <i>J. Atmos. Sci.</i>, 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 .1 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves with the QBO: A satellite perspective. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres</i>, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves. <i>Journal of Atmospheric Sciences</i>, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/10469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CO; 2 Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M. & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. <i>Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society</i>, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/013/36 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. <i>J. Atmos. Sci.</i>, 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F	610	trieved from https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/10/1383/2017/ doi:
 de la Cámara, A., & Lott, F. (2015). A parameterization of gravity waves emitted by fronts and jets. Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(6), 2071-2078. doi: 10.1002/2015GL063298 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., & Hertzog, A. (2014). Intermittency in a stochastic parameterization of nonorographic gravity waves. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 119(21), 11905-11919. doi: 10.1002/2014JD022002 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., Jewtoukoff, V., Plougonven, R., & Hertzog, A. (2016). On the gravity wave forcing during the southern stratospheric final warning in LMDZ. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 J. Interaction of gravity waves with the QBO: A satellite perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratosphere in cerms/1 as functions of convectively generated stratosphere in cerms/1 as functions. Quarterla of the copyal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/gi.361 Foueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in cerms/1 reanalyses. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/gi.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Gravity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon	611	10.5194/gmd-10-1383-2017
 ted by fronts and jets. Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(6), 2071-2078. doi: 10.1002/ 2015GL063298 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., & Hertzog, A. (2014). Intermittency in a stochastic parameterization of nonorographic gravity waves. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmo- spheres, 119(21), 11905-11919. doi: 10.1002/2014JD022002 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., Jewtoukoff, V., Plougonven, R., & Hertzog, A. (2016). On the gravity wave forcing during the southern stratospheric final warning in LMDZ. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/ JAS-D-15.0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 .1 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves with the QBO: A satellite perspec- tive. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convec- tively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CC) 2 Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tomp- kins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the up- per troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in cmwf reanalyses. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/0j.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Grav- ity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Researc	612	de la Cámara, A., & Lott, F. (2015). A parameterization of gravity waves emit-
 2015GL063298 2011GL2012GL33202013 2011JL2012GL33D020731 2011GL20101002/2013D020731 2011GL301002/2013D020731 2011GL301002/2013D020731 2011GL301002/2013D020731 2011GL301002/2013D020731 2011GL301002/2013D020731 2011GL301002/2013D020731 2011GL30102/2013D020731 2011GL30102/2013D020731	613	ted by fronts and jets. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i> , 42(6), 2071-2078. doi: 10.1002/
 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., & Hertzog, A. (2014). Intermittency in a stochastic parameterization of nonorographic gravity waves. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmo- spheres, 119(21), 11905-11919. doi: 10.1002/2014JD022002 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., Jewtoukoff, V., Plougonven, R., & Hertzog, A. (2016). On the gravity wave forcing during the southern stratospheric final warming in LMDZ. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/ JAS-D-15-0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 .1 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves with the QBO: A satellite perspec- tive. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convec- tively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CO; 2 Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tomp- kins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic head budget of the up- per troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in cernwf reanalyses. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Metorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Grav- ity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalanjs, L. E., D	614	2015GL063298
 parameterization of nonorographic gravity waves. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 119(21), 11905-11919. doi: 10.1002/2014JD022002 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., Jewtoukoff, V., Plougonven, R., & Hertzog, A. (2016). On the gravity wave forcing during the southern stratospheric final warning in LMDZ. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves with the QBO: A satellite perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CO; 2 Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qi.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Gravity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Quarterly J	615	de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., & Hertzog, A. (2014). Intermittency in a stochastic
 <i>spheres</i>, 119(21), 11905-11919. doi: 10.1002/2014JD022002 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., Jewtoukoff, V., Plougonven, R., & Hertzog, A. (2016). On the gravity wave forcing during the southern stratospheric final warming in LMDZ. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/ JAS-D-15-0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 .1 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves with the QBO: A satellite perspec- tive. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convec- tively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CC; Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tomp- kins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the up- per troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qi.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Grav- ity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qi.3803 Hertzog,	616	parameterization of nonorographic gravity waves. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmo-
 de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., Jewtoukoff, V., Plougonven, R., & Hertzog, A. (2016). On the gravity wave forcing during the southern stratospheric final warming in LMDZ. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/ JAS-D-15-0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749–1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 1 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves with the QBO: A satellite perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CO; Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qi.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Gravity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qi.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The str	617	spheres, 119(21), 11905-11919, doi: 10.1002/2014JD022002
 Don the gravity wave forcing during the southern stratospheric final warming in LMDZ. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/ JAS-D-15-0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749–1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 1 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves with the QBO: A satellite perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CC; Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in cemwf reanalyses. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qi361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Gravity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/qi3803 Hertzor, A., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). A	618	de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., Jewtoukoff, V., Plougonyen, B., & Hertzog, A. (2016).
 in LMDZ. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/ JAS-D-15-0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749–1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 .1 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves with the QBO: A satellite perspec- tive. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convec- tively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CO; 2 Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tomp- kins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the up- per troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in cemwf reanalyses. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qij.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Grav- ity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A per- sonal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: httt	619	On the gravity wave forcing during the southern stratospheric final warming
 JAS-D-15-0377.1 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 I. Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves with the QBO: A satellite perspec- tive. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convec- tively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CO; Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tomp- kins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the up- per troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Grav- ity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hertsoach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.102/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (620	in LMDZ. J. Atmos. Sci., 73(8), 3213-3226. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/
 Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749-1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 1 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves with the QBO: A satellite perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CO; Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tomp- kins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the up- per troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/01;361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Grav- ity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/0218EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/01;3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcre long-d	621	JAS-D-15-0377.1
 Gravity Wave Drag. J. Atmos. Sci., 68, 1749–1765. doi: 10.1175/2011JAS3684 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves with the QBO: A satellite perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CO; 2 Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qi.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Gravity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kahnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qi.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A personal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.106/S1752-92980(7)80047-8 	622	Eckermann, S. D. (2011). Explicitly Stochastic Parameterization of Nonorographic
 Intervention of the second of the form of the second of the form of the form	623	Gravity Wave Drag J Atmos Sci 68 1749–1765 doi: 10 1175/2011.IAS3684
 Ern, M., Ploeger, F., Preusse, P., Gille, J., Gray, L. J., Kalisch, S., Riese, M. (2014). Interaction of gravity waves with the QBO: A satellite perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CO; Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Gravity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A personal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.	624	.1
 Int, in, Fröger, F., Fröger, F., Fröger, F., Kall, K., K., K., K., K., K., K., K., K., K.	625	Ern M Ploeger F Preusse P Gille J Grav L J Kalisch S Riese M
 tive. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2329 - 2355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convec- tively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CO; ⁵²² ⁵³³ Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tomp- kins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the up- per troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.361 ⁵⁴⁴ Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). ⁵⁴⁵ Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Grav- ity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. ⁵⁴⁶ Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A per- sonal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S175292980780478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On	626	(2014) Interaction of gravity waves with the OBO: A satellite perspec-
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020731 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CO; Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Gravity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A personal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 Mertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonyen, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	627	tive Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 119 2329 - 2355 doi:
 Fovell, R., Durran, D., & Holton, J. R. (1992). Numerical simulations of convectively generated stratospheric gravity waves. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CO; Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Gravity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorce long-duration balloon experiment: A personal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 	628	https://doi.org/10.1002/2013.ID020731
 Forei, R., Damin, D., & Honos, R. (1992). The fore of the second strength of t	620	Fovell B Durran D & Holton J B (1992) Numerical simulations of convec-
 49(16), 1427 - 1442. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049(1427:NSOCGS)2.0.CG; 2 Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Gravity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A personal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 	630	tively generated stratospheric gravity waves Journal of Atmospheric Sciences
 ⁵⁰¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ ¹ 	631	/9(16) 1427 - 1442 doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049/1427 NSOCGS 2.0 CO:
 Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beljaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tompkins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135 (638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26 (17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Gravity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146 (730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A personal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzoe, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	632	2
 kins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the upper troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135 (638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26 (17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Gravity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146 (730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A personal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 	633	Fueglistaler, S., Legras, B., Beliaars, A., Morcrette, JJ., Simmons, A., Tomp-
 per troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135 (638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26 (17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Grav- ity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146 (730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A per- sonal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	634	kins, A. M., & Uppala, S. (2009). The diabatic heat budget of the up-
 terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Grav- ity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A per- sonal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	635	per troposphere and lower/mid stratosphere in ecmwf reanalyses. Quar-
 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.361 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26 (17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Grav- ity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A personal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 	636	terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 135(638), 21-37. doi:
 Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A., <i>Chou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26 (17).</i> Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Gravity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146 (730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A personal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	637	https://doi.org/10.1002/gi.361
 Chou, Y. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Grav- ity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A per- sonal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	638	Geller, M. A., Alexander, M. J., Love, P. T., Bacmeister, J., Ern, M., Hertzog, A.,
 observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., 26(17). Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Grav- ity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A personal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonyen, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	639	Zhou, T. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in
 Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Grav- ity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A per- sonal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	640	observations and climate models. J. Atmos. Sci., $26(17)$.
 ity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146 (730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A per- sonal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	641	Green, B., Sheshadri, A., Alexander, M., Bramberger, M., & Lott, F. (2023). Grav-
 of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted. Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146 (730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A per- sonal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	642	ity wave momentum fluxes estimated from project loon balloon data. <i>Journal</i>
 Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnajs, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis, S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A personal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	643	of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Submitted.
 S. M., Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos, 99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A per- sonal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	644	Haase, J. S., Alexander, M. J., Hertzog, A., Kalnais, L. E., Deshler, T., Davis,
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146 (730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A per- sonal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	645	S. M Venel, S. (2018). Around the world in 84 days [Dataset]. Eos. 99.
 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146 (730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A per- sonal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	646	doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EO091907
 J., Thépaut, JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar- terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A per- sonal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonyen, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	647	Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater,
 terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A personal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	648	J Thépaut. JN. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis [Dataset]. Quar-
 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A personal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	649	terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049, doi:
 Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A per- sonal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	650	https://doi.org/10.1002/gi.3803
 sonal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https:// www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	651	Hertzog, A. (2007). The stratéole-vorcore long-duration balloon experiment: A per-
 www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency 	652	sonal perspective. Space Research Today, 169, 43-48. Retrieved from https://
654 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8 655 Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonyen, R. (2012). On the Intermittency	653	www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752929807800478 doi:
Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency	654	https://doi.org/10.1016/S1752-9298(07)80047-8
	655	Hertzog, A., Alexander, M. J., & Plougonven, R. (2012). On the Intermittency

656	of Gravity Wave Momentum Flux in the Stratosphere. Journal of the Atmo-
657	spheric Sciences(11), 3433–3448. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-12-09.1
658	Hines, C. O. (1991). The saturation of gravity waves in the middle atmosphere. part
659	ii: Development of doppler-spread theory. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences,
660	48(11), 1361 - 1379. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1991)048(1361:
661	TSOGWI 2.0.CO;2
662	Hines, C. O. (1997). Doppler-spread parameterization of gravity-wave momentum
663	deposition in the middle atmosphere. part 2: Broad and quasi monochromatic
664	spectra, and implementation. J. Atmos. Solar Terr. Phys., 59(4), 387-400. doi:
665	10.1016/S1364-6826(96)00080-6
666	Holt, L. A., Lott, F., Garcia, R. R., Kiladis, G. N., Cheng, YM., Anstey, J. A.,
667	Yukimoto, S. (2022). An evaluation of tropical waves and wave forcing of
668	the QBO in the QBOi models. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological
669	Society, 148(744), 1541-1567. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3827
670	Hourdin, F., Rio, C., Grandpeix, JY., Madeleine, JB., Cheruy, F., Rochetin,
671	N., Ghattas, J. (2020). LMDZ6A: The atmospheric component of the
672	ipsl climate model with improved and better tuned physics [Software]. Jour-
673	nal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12(7), e2019MS001892. doi:
674	https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001892
675	Jewtoukoff, V., Hertzog, A., Plougonven, R., de la Cámara, A., & Lott, F. (2015).
676	Comparison of gravity waves in the southern hemisphere derived from bal-
677	loon observations and the ecmwf analyses. J. Atmos. Sci., $72(9)$. doi:
678	DOI:10.1175/JAS-D-14-0324.1
679	Jewtoukoff, V., Plougonven, R., & Hertzog, A. (2013). Gravity waves generated by
680	deep tropical convection: Estimates from balloon observations and mesoscale
681	simulations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118(17), 9690-
682	9707. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50781
683	Kang, MJ., Chun, HY., & Kim, YH. (2017). Momentum flux of convective
684	gravity waves derived from an offline gravity wave parameterization. part i:
685	Spatiotemporal variations at source level. Journal of the Atmospheric Sci-
686	ences, 74 (10), 3167 - 3189. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-17-0053.1
687	Lane, T. P., & Moncrieff, M. W. (2008). Stratospheric gravity waves generated by
688	multiscale tropical convection. J. Atmos. Sci., 65, 2598–2614. doi: DOI:10
689	.1175/2007 JAS 2601.1
690	Lindzen, R. S. (1981). Turbulence and stress owing to gravity wave and tidal break-
691	down. J. Geophys. Res., 86(C10), 9707-9714. doi: 10.1029/JC086iC10p09707
692	Liu, C., Alexander, J., Richter, J., & Bacmeister, J. (2022). Using trmm latent
693	heat as a source to estimate convection induced gravity wave momentum
694	flux in the lower stratosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmo-
695	<i>spheres</i> , 127(1), e2021JD035785. (e2021JD035785 2021JD035785) doi:
696	https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035785
697	Lott, F., & Guez, L. (2013). A stochastic parameterization of the gravity waves due
698	to convection and its impact on the equatorial stratosphere. J. Geophus. Res.,
699	118(16), 8897-8909. doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50705
700	Lott, F., Guez, L., & Maury, P. (2012). A stochastic parameterization of non-
701	orographic gravity waves: Formalism and impact on the equatorial strato-
702	sphere. Geophys. Res. Lett., 39(6), L06807. doi: 10.1029/2012GL051001
703	Lott, F., Rani, R., Podglaien, A., Codron, F., Guez, L., Hertzog, A., & Plougonyen,
	R. (2023). Direct comparison between a non-orographic gravity wave drag
704	
704	scheme and constant level balloons. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmo-
704 705 706	scheme and constant level balloons. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmo- spheres, 128(4), e2022JD037585. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD037585
704 705 706 707	scheme and constant level balloons. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmo- spheres, 128(4), e2022JD037585. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD037585 Manzini, E., McFarlane, N. A., & McLandress, C. (1997). Impact of the doppler
704 705 706 707 708	scheme and constant level balloons. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmo- spheres, 128(4), e2022JD037585. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD037585 Manzini, E., McFarlane, N. A., & McLandress, C. (1997). Impact of the doppler spread parameterization on the simulation of the middle atmosphere circula-
704 705 706 707 708 709	scheme and constant level balloons. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmo- spheres, 128(4), e2022JD037585. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD037585 Manzini, E., McFarlane, N. A., & McLandress, C. (1997). Impact of the doppler spread parameterization on the simulation of the middle atmosphere circula- tion using the ma/echam4 general circulation model. Journal of Geophysical
704 705 706 707 708 709 710	 scheme and constant level balloons. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 128(4), e2022JD037585. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD037585 Manzini, E., McFarlane, N. A., & McLandress, C. (1997). Impact of the doppler spread parameterization on the simulation of the middle atmosphere circulation using the ma/echam4 general circulation model. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 102(D22), 25751-25762. doi: 10.1029/97JD01096

711	Orr, A., Bechtold, P., Scinocca, J., Ern, M., & Janiskova, M. (2010). Improved mid-
712	dle atmosphere climate and forecasts in the ecmwf model through a nonoro-
713	graphic gravity wave drag parameterization. Journal of Climate, 23(22), 5905
714	- 5926. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3490.1
715	Plougonven, R., Jewtoukoff, V., de la Cámara, A., Lott, F., & Hertzog, A. (2017).
716	On the relation between gravity waves and wind speed in the lower strato-
717	sphere over the southern ocean. J. Atmos. Sci., $74(4)$, 1075-1093. doi:
718	10.1175/JAS-D-16-0096.1
719	Rabier, F., Bouchard, A., Brun, E., Doerenbecher, A., Guedj, S., Guidard, V.,
720	Steinle, P. (2010, January). The Concordiasi Project in Antarctica.
721	Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, $91(1)$, $69-86$. Retrieved
722	from https://hal-insu.archives-ouvertes.fr/insu-00562459 doi:
723	10.1175/2009BAMS2764.1
724	Richter, J. H., Sassi, F., & Garcia, R. R. (2010). Toward a physically based gravity
725	wave source parameterization in a general circulation model. Journal of the At -
726	mospheric Sciences, 67(1), 136 - 156. doi: 10.1175/2009JAS3112.1
727	Scaife, A. A., Butchart, N., Warner, C. D., & Swinbank, R. (2002). Impact of a
728	spectral gravity wave parameterization on the stratosphere in the met office
729	unified model. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, $59(9)$, 1473 - 1489. doi:
730	$https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2002)059\langle 1473: IOASGW\rangle 2.0.CO; 2.$
731	Scinocca, J. F. (2003). An accurate spectral nonorographic gravity wave drag pa-
732	rameterization for general circulation models. Journal of the Atmospheric Sci-
733	ences, $60(4)$, $667 - 682$. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2003)060(0667:
734	AASNGW)2.0.CO;2
735	Serva, F., Cagnazzo, C., Riccio, A., & Manzini, E. (2018). Impact of a stochastic
736	nonorographic gravity wave parameterization on the stratospheric dynamics of
737	a general circulation model. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems,
738	10(9), 2147-2162. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001297
739	Song, IS., & Chun, HY. (2005). Momentum flux spectrum of convectively
740	forced internal gravity waves and its application to gravity wave drag pa-
741	rameterization. part 1: 1 neory. J. Atmos. Sci., $bZ(1)$, 107-124. doi:
742	$\frac{\text{nttps:}}{\text{doi.org}} = \frac{100}{\text{JAS-3303.1}}$
743	of marity wave graates through a policitic middle atmosphere. I Atmos Goi
744	of gravity wave spectra through a realistic middle atmosphere. J. Atmos. Sci., $52(99)$, $2912, 2925$, d_{2} , $10, 1175/(1590, 0.460/(1006))(52/2912, OTDADO)) = 0. CO.$
745	33(22), 5215-5255. doi: 10.1175/1520-0409(1990)055(5215:01PADO)2.0.00;
746	Warner C D & McInture M F (1000) Toward an ultre simple spectral group
747	ity wayo parameterization for general circulation models Forth Planets and
748	Space 51 475 484 doi: 10.1186/BE02252900
749	<i>Spuce</i> , <i>J1</i> , 415–464. doi: 10.1160/DF05050209

-22-

⁷⁵⁰ Supplementary: Phase 1 flights and models



⁷⁵¹ Supplementary: Phase 1 flights and models (continued)



⁷⁵² Supplementary: Phase 2 flights and models



⁷⁵³ Supplementary: Phase 2 flights and models (continued)



⁷⁵⁴ Supplementary: Phase 2 flights and models (continued)



⁷⁵⁵ Supplementary: Phase 2 flights and models (continued)

